1	Ryan Lee, Esq. 024846 Krohn & Moss, Ltd. 10474 Santa Monica Blvd. Suite 401	
2		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90025 T: (323) 988-2400; F: (866) 802-0021	
4	rlee@consumerlawcenter.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	
5	ROBERT WEISS	
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,	
9	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
10	ROBERT WEISS,) Case No.:
11	Plaintiff,) VERIFIED COMPLAINT AND DEMAND
	VS.) FOR JURY TRIAL)
12	NATIONAL CREDIT ADJUSTERS, LLC,) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)
13	Defendant.))
14		<u></u>
15	TANDARAN D	A COMPLAINTE
16	<u>VERIFIED COMPLAINT</u>	
17	ROBERT WEISS (Plaintiff), by his attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., allege the	
18	following against NATIONAL CREDIT ADJUSTERS, LLC, (Defendant):	
19	INTRODUCTION	
20	1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15	
21	U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). According to the FDCPA, the United States Congress has	
22	found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection	
23	practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection	
24	practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the	
25	loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy. Congress wrote the FDCPA to	
	eliminate abusive debt collection prac	etices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt
		•

20

21

22

23

24

25

collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses. 15 U.S.C. 1692(a) - (e).

- Plaintiff brings this action to challenge Defendant's actions with regard to attempts by Defendant, a debt collector, to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff's damages.
- Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy" and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- 5. Because Defendant maintains a business office and conducts business in the state of Arizona, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 6. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 *U.S.C.* 1391(*b*)(1).
- 7. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2201 and 2202.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in the Green Valley, Pima County, Arizona and are allegedly obligated to pay a debt and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3).
- 9. Defendant is a national company with its headquarters in Hutchinson, Kansas.
- 10. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or

attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Defendant constantly and continuously places collection calls to Plaintiff, seeking and demanding payment for an alleged debt.
- 12. Defendant constantly and continuously places collection calls from the number (620) 665-6032 seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt.
- 13. Defendant constantly and continuously places collection calls to the number (520) 203-2868 seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt.
- 14. Defendant failed to properly identify itself while seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt.
- 15. Defendant failed to notify the Plaintiff that it was a debt collector. They further failed to notify the Plaintiff that anything said during the conversation would be used in the collection of the alleged debt.
- 16. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with a 30 day validation notice within five days following the initial communication.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 17. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated *§1692d* of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, and abuse the Plaintiff.
 - b. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring and engaging Plaintiff in telephone conversations repeatedly and continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, and harass Plaintiff.
 - c. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by placing telephone calls to Plaintiff without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity.

- d. Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by making false, deceptive, and misleading representations and means in connection with the debt collection.
- e. Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by making false representations and engaging in deceptive means to collect a debt or obtain information about Plaintiff.
- f. Defendant violated §1692e(11) of the FDCPA failing to properly identify itself when seeking and demanding payment for the alleged consumer debt.
- g. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by engaging in unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
- h. Defendant violated §1692g(a)(1-5) by failing to provide appropriate notice of the debt within 5 days after the initial communication including: (1) the amount of the debt; (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed; (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within 30 days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector; (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the 30-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the 30-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
- 18. As a direct and proximate result of one or more or all of the statutory violations above Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress (see Exhibit "A").

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ROBERT WEISS, respectfully requests judgment be entered	
2	against Defendant, NATIONAL CREDIT ADJUSTERS, LLC, for the following:	
3	19. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the Fair Debt Collection	
4	Practices Act,	
5	20. Statutory damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,	
6	21. Actual damages,	
7	22. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act	
8	15 U.S.C. 1692k	
9	23. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.	
10	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
11	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, ROBERT WEISS, demand a jury trial in thi	
12	cause of action.	
13	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,	
14	DATED: August 20, 2009 KROHN & MOSS, LTD.	
15		
16	By: /s/ Ryan Lee	
17	Ryan Lee Attorney for Plaintiff	
18	Autorney for Flamini	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	- 5 -	

1 2 3 VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION STATE OF ARIZONA 4 5 Plaintiff, ROBERT WEISS, state as follows: 6 I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding. 1. We have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by our attorneys and we 2. believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of our knowledge, 7 information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry. We believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by 8 3. existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of 9 existing law. We believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such 4. as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create 10 a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the 11 Complaint. We have filed this Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it. 5. Each and every exhibit we have provided to our attorneys which has been attached to 12 6. this Complaint is a true and correct copy of the original. Except for clearly indicated redactions made by our attorneys where appropriate, we 13 7. have not altered, changed, modified or fabricated these exhibits, except that some of the attached exhibits may contain some of my own handwritten notations. 14 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, ROBERT WEISS, hereby declare (or certify, 15 verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 16 DATE: 8-14-09 17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EXHIBIT A

- 7 -

I have suffered from the following due to, or made worse by, the actions of the

Defendant's debt collection activities: 1. Sleeplessness 2. Fear of answering the telephone 3. Nervousness 4. Fear of answering the door 5. Embarrassment when speaking with family or friends 6. Depressions (sad, anxious, or "empty" moods) 7. Chest pains 8. Feelings of hopelessness, pessimism 9. Feelings of guilt, worthlessness, helplessness 10. Appetite and/or weight loss or overeating and weight gain 11. Thoughts of death, suicide or suicide attempts 12. Restlessness or irritability 13. Headache, nausea, chronic pain or fatigue 14. Negative impact on my job 15. Negative impact on my relationships Other physical or emotional symptoms you believe are associated with abusive debt collection activities: Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I hereby declare (or certify, verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct